arXiv:1610.02700v1 [math.PR] 9 Oct 2016

INVARIANCE PRINCIPLES FOR RANDOM SUMS OF RANDOM VARIABLES

GANE SAMB LO

Abstract. This note investigates invariance principles for sums of N(nt) iid radom variables, where n is an integer, t is a positive real number and N(u) is a stochastic process with nonnegative integer values. We show that the sequence of sums of these random variables denoted S(n,t), when appropriately centered and normalized, weakly converges to a Gaussian process. We give sufficient conditions depending on the expectation of N(nt) which allows to rescale S(n,t) into a stochastic S(n,a(t)) weakly converging to a Brownian motion.

1. Introduction

Let $X_1, X_2, ...$ be sequence of real random variables defined on the same probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ and suppose that this probability space holds a stochastic process $(N(t))_{t\geq 0}$ taking its values in the set $\mathbb{N}$ of nonnegative integers with $N(0) = 0$. Define for $t \geq 0$,

$$S(t) = \sum_{h=1}^{N(t)} X_h, \text{ for } N(t) \geq 1,$$

and $S(t) = 0$ for $N(t) < 1$ and for any $n \geq 1$ and $t \geq 0$,

$$S_n(t) = S(nt).$$

This note investigates possible invariance principles for the normalized random sums $\{S_n(t)/\sqrt{c_n}, t \geq 0\}$, where $(c_n)_{n\geq 1}$ is a sequence of positive constants to be defined later, in the space of locally bounded functions ℓ^∞, that is the space functions which are bounded on compact sets $[0, T]$. Indeed for each $T > 0$, the sequence

$$\{S_n(t)/\sqrt{c_n}, 0 \leq t \leq T\} = \{\sum_{h=1}^{N(nt)} X_h/\sqrt{c_n}, 0 \leq t \leq T\}$$

is in the space $\ell^\infty(T) = \ell^\infty([0, T])$ of real bounded functions on $[0, T]$ equipped with the sup-norm

$$\|x\|_{\infty,T} = \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \sup |x(t)|, x \in \ell^\infty(T),$$

Key words and phrases. Invariance principle, sums of random variables; random sums; asymptotic tightness, stochastic processes convergence.

since for any $\omega \in \Omega$ for any $n \geq 1$

$$\|S_n\|_{\infty,T} = \sup_{t\in[0,T]} |S_n(t)| \leq \max_{1\leq h\leq N(T)(\omega)} \left| \sum_{h=1}^{k} X_h(\omega)/\sqrt{c_n} \right| < +\infty.$$

So it will be enough to study the weak convergence of the stochastic processes $\{S_n(t), 0 \leq t \leq T\}$, for each fixed $T > 0$.

We intend to proceed to a general study from the case of independent variables $X_1, X_2, ...$ to dependent data. The case of associated sequences $X_1, X_2, ...$ would place this study in an currently active research field.

But for the beginning, we explore the case where the $X_1, X_2, ..$ are *iid* centered random variables with finire second moments (taken to be one).

Let us make some hypotheses we may need.

(HX1A) The random variables $X_1, X_2, ..$ are centered iid with finite variance ($\sigma^2 = 1$) with common characteristic function φ.

(HN1A) The stochastic process $(N(t))_{t\geq 0}$ is everywhere increasing and has independent increments such that for any $0 \leq s < t$,

$0 \leq \mathbb{E}(N(nt) - N(ns)) = a_n(s,t) \in R$ and $a_n(s,t) \to +\infty$ as $n \to +\infty$.

(HN2A) For each $0 \leq s < t$, the moment function of $\Delta N_n(s,t)$ is well defined on $\mathbb{R}$ and the law of large numbers

$$\frac{\Delta N_n(s,t)}{a_n(s,t)} \to_P 1,$$

holds.

(HN3A) There exists a sequence $(c_n > 0)_{n\geq 0}$ such that for any $0 \leq s < t$, $a_n(s,t)/c_n$ converges to a positive real number $\Delta a(s,t)$..

(HTA) For some $p \geq 1$, for any $t \in [0,T]$,

$$\lim_{\delta\to 0} \frac{1}{\delta} \lim \sup_{n\to+\infty} \mathbb{E}\left(\left\{ \frac{N(nt+n\delta) - N(nt)}{c_n} \right\}^{p/2} \right) = 0$$

and the sequence

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\left| \frac{S_r}{\sqrt{r}} \right|^p \right), p \geq 1,$$

is bounded.

(HA1) The fonction $a(\circ)$ is strictly increasing and invertible, and $a^{-1}(\circ)$ is a Lipschitz function withe the index $k > 0$.

2. Main results

The main results in the iid case are the following.

Proposition 1. *Assume (HX1A),(HX2A), (HN1A), (HN2A), (HN3A) , (HTA) hold. Then, for each $T > 0$, the sequence of stochastic processes $S_{n,T} = \{S_n(t)/\sqrt{c_n}, 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ weakly converges, in the space $\ell^\infty([0,T])$ to a centered Gaussian process*

$$\mathbb{G}_T = \{\mathbb{G}, 0 \leq t \leq T\}$$

with covariance function defined by

$$\Gamma_{\mathbb{G}}(s,t) = a(\min(s,t)), (s,t) \in [0,T]^2,$$

where

$$a(u) = \Delta a(0,u), u \geq 0,$$

in the sense that for any continuous and bounded function $f : \left(\ell^\infty(T), \|\circ\|_{\infty,T}\right) \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathbb{E}f(S_{n,T}) \to \mathbb{E}f(\mathbb{G}_T) \text{ as } n \to +\infty. \tag{2.1}$$

The function $a(u), u \geq 0$, is non-increasing. If $a(\circ)$ is increasing and invertible, we will be able to rescale the time and get:

Corollary 1. *Let $a(\circ)$ be an increasing and invertible function. If (HA1) holds in addition of the assumptions of Proposition 1, then the sequence of stochastic processes $\{S_n(a^{-1}(t)))/\sqrt{c_n}, 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ weakly converges, in the space $\ell^\infty([0,T])$ to a centered Gaussian process*

$$\{\mathbb{G}(a^{-1}(t)), 0 \leq t \leq T\}, 0 \leq t \leq T\},$$

where $\mathbb{G}(a^{-1}(\circ)) = B(\circ)$ Brownian motion on $[0,T]$

FIRST REMARKS.

(1) The second part of Assumption (HTA), that is the sequence $\mathbb{E}\left(|S_r/\sqrt{r}|^p\right)$ is bounded for some $p > 2$, seems to too strong. Indeed it implies that the random variables X_i have a *pth* finite moment. It is satisfied if $\mathbb{E}X_i^4$ is finite. Actually, this condition comes from the use the submartingale

argument. Other arguments should be investigated to avoid it.

(2) All the conditions on N are easily satisfied if N is the counting process of a homogenuous Poisson Process with parameter λ with $c_n = n$ and $a(t) = \lambda t$.

PERSPECTIVES.

(1) : Deepen these results and weakening the conditions.

(2) : Explore possible applivations.

(3) : Explore the Hungarian approximations of the form

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |S_{n,T}(t) - \mathbb{G}_T(t)| = O(a_n) \quad a.s.$$

.

(4) : Generalize results for associated random variables.

(5) : Generalize results for depedent data.

3. Proofs

3.1. **Proof of Proposition 1.** Here, we use the theory of weak convergence of applications with values in $\left(\ell^\infty(T), \|\circ\|_{\infty,T}\right)$ now popularized by the book of var der Vaart and Wellner [2]. This theory allows to avoid the Skorohod metric at the cost of using outer and inner integrals or probabilities. In the the following, $\mathbb{P}^*(B)$ is the outer probability of any subset $B \subset \Omega$, defined by

$$\mathbb{P}^*(B) = \inf\{\mathbb{P}(A),\ \text{A measurable},\ B \subset A\}.$$

By Theorem 1.5.4 and 1.5.6 in [2], $S_{n,T}/\sqrt{c_n}$ weakly converges to $\mathbb{G}_T$ in $\left(\ell^\infty(T), \|\circ\|_{\infty,T}\right)$ if and only if

(a) the finite distributions of $\left\{S_{n,T}/\sqrt{c_n}\right\}_{n\geq 1}$ weakly converge to those of $\mathbb{G}_T$,

and

(b) the sequence $\left\{S_{n,T}/\sqrt{c_n}\right\}_{n\geq 1}$ is asymptoticaly tight.

From Theorem 1.5.6 in [2], from the adaptation of [5] for Theorem 8.3 in Billinsgley [4], it comes that, if the finite distributions already converge, then $\{S_{n,T}\}_{n\geq 1}$ is asymptotically tight whenever

$$\lim_{\delta\to 0}\sup_{s\in[0,T]}\limsup_{n}\frac{1}{\delta}\mathbb{P}^*(\sup_{s-\delta<t<s+\delta,t\in[0,T]}\frac{|S_n(s)-S_n(t)|}{\sqrt{c_n}}>\eta)=0. \tag{3.1}$$

Based on these remarks, we are going to proceed into three steps.

First, we need this lemma. Next, we will prove the points (a) and (b) above.

Lemma 1. *Let $0=t_0<t_1<...<t_k=T>0$, and $k\geq 2$. Denote $N_n(t_j)=N(nt_j)$, $j=0,...,k$ and $\Delta_n N(t_j)=N_n(t_j)-N_n(t_{j-1})$, $j=1,...,k$. Set*

$$Y_{j,n}=\sum_{h=N(t_{j-1})+1}^{N(t_j)}X_h,\ j=1,...,k.$$

Suppose that $(HX1A)$ and $(HN1A)$ and $(HN1B)$ hold. Then the random variables $Y_{j,n}$, $j=1,...,k$, are independent.

Proof of Lemma 1. Put $N^*=(N_n(t_1),...,N_n(t_k))$. The characteristic function of $(Y_{1,n},...,Y_{k,n})$ is

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_{(Y_{1,n},...,Y_{k,n})}(v_1,...,v_k) &= \mathbb{E}\exp(i\sum_{j=1}^{k}v_jY_{j,n})\\ &= \mathbb{E}\prod_{j=1}^{k}\exp(iv_j\sum_{h=N(t_{j-1})+1}^{N(t_j)}X_h).\end{aligned}$$

But, for $n^*=(n_1,...,n_k)$ such that $n_0=0\leq n_1\leq...\leq n_k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left(\left(\prod_{j=1}^{k}\exp(i\sum_{h=N(t_{j-1})+1}^{N(t_j)}X_h)\right)/N^*=n^*\right) &= \mathbb{E}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{k}\exp(iv_j\sum_{h=n_{j-1}+1}^{n_j}X_h)\right)\\ &= \prod_{j=1}^{k}\varphi(v_j)^{n_j-n_{j-1}},\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\left(\prod_{j=1}^{k}\exp(i\sum_{h=N(t_{j-1})+1}^{N(t_j)}X_h)\right)/N^*\right)=\prod_{j=1}^{k}\varphi(v_j)^{\Delta_nN(t_j)}.$$

We get

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_{(Y_{1,n},...,Y_{k,n})}(v_1,...,v_k) &= \mathbb{E}\left(\mathbb{E}\left(\left\{\exp(i\sum_{j=1}^{k}v_jY_{j,n})\right\}/N^*\right)\right)\\ &= \mathbb{E}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{k}\varphi(v_j)^{\Delta_nN(t_j)}\right)\\ &= .\mathbb{E}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{k}\exp(\Delta_nN(t_j)\log\varphi(v_j))\right)\\ &= \mathbb{E}\prod_{j=1}^{k}\psi_{\Delta_nN(t_j)}(\log\varphi(v_j)).\end{aligned}$$

So we have the independance between the $Y_{j,n}, j = 1, ..., k$.

Secondly, let us address the weak convergences of the finite distributions of $\{S_{n,T}\}_{n\geq 1}$. We have

Proposition 2. *Suppose that* $(HX1A)$ *and* $(HN1A)$ *and* $(HN1B)$ *hold. Let* $0 = t_0 < t_1 < ... < t_k = T > 0$, *and* $k \geq 2$. *Denote* $N_n(t_j) = N(nt_j)$, $j = 0, ..., k$ *and* $\Delta_nN(t_j) = N_n(t_j) - N_n(t_{j-1})$, $a_n(t_j) = E\Delta_nN(t_j)$, $j = 1, ..., k$. *Set*

$$Y_{j,n} = \sum_{h=N(t_{j-1})+1}^{N(t_j)} X_h,\ \ j = 1, ..., k.$$

We have
(1) *The* k*-tuple* $(Y_{1,n}/\sqrt{a_n(t_1)}, ..., Y_{k,n}/\sqrt{a_n(t_k)})$ *weakly converges to a centered* k*-Gaussian random vector* $\Delta B = (\Delta B(1), \Delta B(2), ..., \Delta B(k))$ *with independent standard gaussian components.*

(2) *Suppose there exists a sequence of positive numbers* c_n *such that for any* $0 \leq s < t, a_n(s,t)/c_n \to \Delta a(s,t)$. *Denote* $a(t_j) = a(t_{j-1}, t_j), j = 1, ..., k$.

Then the k*-tuple* $(S_n(t_1)/\sqrt{c_n}, ..., (S_n(t_2)/\sqrt{c_n})$ *weakly converges to the Gaussian random vector*

$$(\Delta B(1)\sqrt{a(t_1)}, ..., \Delta B(1)\sqrt{a(t_1)} + \Delta B(2)\sqrt{a(t_2)} + ... + \Delta B(k)\sqrt{a(t_k)}) \tag{3.2}$$

with covariance matrix $\sum = (\sigma_{uj})_{1\leq i,j\leq k}$ *such that*

$$\sigma_{ij} = \sum_{h=1}^{\min(i,j)} a(t_h).$$

Proof of Proposition 2. By Lemma 1, we have that the $Y_{j,n}$ are independent. So we only need to establish the weak convergence of each component to get the joint weak convergence. We have for each $j \in \{1, 2, ..., k\}$,

$$\mathbb{E}(Y_{j,n}) = \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(Y_{j,n}/N^* = n^*) = 0 \times (n_j - n_{j-1}) = 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(Y_{j,n}^2) &= \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(Y_{j,n}/N^* = n^*) \\ &= \mathbb{E}(\left\{ \sum_{h=n_{j-1}+1}^{n_j} X_h^2 + \sum_{n_{j-1}+1 \le h \ne \ell \le n_j} X_h X_\ell \right\} = (n_j - n_{j-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Then then $Y_{j,n}$ are centered and have variance $\mathbb{E}\Delta_n N(t_j) = a_n(t_j)$. Let us show that each $Y_{j,n}/\sqrt{a_n(t_j)}$ converges to a $N(0,1)$ random variable. By the computations in the Lemma 1, we have

$$\psi_{Y_{j,n}/\sqrt{a_n(t_j)}}(v) = E \exp(ivY_{j,n}/\sqrt{a_n(t_j)}) = E \exp(\Delta_n N(t_j) \log \varphi(v/\sqrt{a_n(t_j)})).$$

Let us use the uniform expansion of

$$\sup_{|v| \le u} v^{-3} \left| \varphi(v) - 1 + \frac{v^2}{2} \right| = A(u) \text{ with } \limsup_{u \to 0} A(u) < +\infty$$

and

$$\sup_{|v| \le u} v^{-2} \left| \log(1+v) - v \right| = B(u) \text{ with } \limsup_{u \to 0} B(u) < +\infty$$

We have

$$\varphi(v/\sqrt{a_n(t_j)})) - 1 = -\frac{v^2}{2a_n(t_j)} + a_n^{-3/2}(t_j) A_n = d_n \to 0 \text{ with. } \limsup_{n \to +\infty 0} |A_n| < +\infty$$

Then

$$\log \varphi(v/\sqrt{a_n(t_j)})) = \log(1+d_n) = -\frac{v^2}{2a_n(t_j)} + a_n^{-3/2}(t_j) C_n \text{ with } \limsup |C_n| < +\infty.$$

Next

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_{Y_{j,n}/\sqrt{a_n(t_j)}}(v) &= E\exp\left(\left\{\frac{\Delta_n N(t_j)}{a_n(t_j)}\right\}\left\{-\frac{v^2}{2}+\frac{C_n}{a_n^{1/2}(t_j)}\right\}\right)\\ &= E\exp\left(\left\{\frac{\Delta_n N(t_j)}{a_n(t_j)}\right\}\left\{-\frac{v^2}{2}\right\}\right)\exp\left(\left\{\frac{\Delta_n N(t_j)}{a_n(t_j)}\right\}\left\{\frac{C_n}{a_n^{1/2}(t_j)}\right\}\right)\end{aligned}$$

Let us write $C_n = R_n(\cos A_n + i\sin B_n)$ with $0 \le \limsup R_n < +\infty$.
We get

$$\psi_{Y_{j,n}/\sqrt{a_n(t_j)}}(v) = E\psi_{Y_{j,n}/\sqrt{a_n(t_j)}}(v)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\exp\left(\left\{\frac{\Delta_n N(t_j)}{a_n(t_j)}\right\}\left\{-\frac{v^2}{2}+\left\{\frac{R_n\cos B_n}{a_n^{1/2}(t_j)}\right\}\right\}\exp\left(i\left\{\frac{\Delta_n N(t_j)}{a_n(t_j)}\right\}\left\{\frac{R_n\sin A_n}{a_n^{1/2}(t_j)}\right\}\right)\right).$$

For n large enough, we have $|\frac{R_n\cos B_n}{a_n^{1/2}(t_j)}| \le v^2/4$ and for

(3.3)

$$Z_n(1) = \exp\left(\left\{\frac{\Delta_n N(t_j)}{a_n(t_j)}\right\}\left\{-\frac{v^2}{2}+\left\{\frac{R_n\cos B_n}{a_n^{1/2}(t_j)}\right\}\right\}\right)\exp\left(i\left\{\frac{\Delta_n N(t_j)}{a_n(t_j)}\right\}\left\{\frac{R_n\sin A_n}{a_n^{1/2}(t_j)}\right\}\right),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|Z_n(1)\| &= \exp\left(\left\{\frac{\Delta_n N(t_j)}{a_n(t_j)}\right\}\left\{-\frac{v^2}{2}+\left\{\frac{R_n\cos B_n}{a_n^{1/2}(t_j)}\right\}\right\}\right) \qquad (3.4)\\ &\le \exp\left\{\frac{\Delta_n N(t_j)}{a_n(t_j)}\right\}\left\{-\frac{v^2}{4}\right\} = Z_n(2).\end{aligned}$$

Now Z_n (2) is of the form

$$Z_n = g\left(\frac{\Delta_n N(t_j)}{a_n(t_j)}\right)$$

with

$$g(x) = \exp(-\frac{v^2}{4}x)1_{(x\ge 0)},$$

is bounded and continuous on $\mathbb{R}_+$. By $(HN2A)$

$$\frac{\Delta_n N(t_j)}{a_n(t_j)} \to_P 1,$$

which implies that (see Theorem 2.7 in [3], page 10)

$$\frac{\Delta_n N(t_j)}{a_n(t_j)} \to_w 1,$$

where $\rightarrow_w$ stands for weak convergence. By using the boundedness and the continuity g on $\mathbb{R}_+^2$ and by the Portmanteau Theorem or simply by the definition of the weak convergence, we get

$$\mathbb{E}Z_n(2) = Eg\left(\frac{\Delta_n N(t_j)}{a_n(t_j)}\right) \rightarrow g(1) = \exp(-\frac{v^2}{4}) < +\infty. \tag{3.5}$$

From (3.3), we have

$$Z_n(1) \rightarrow_{\mathbb{P}} \exp(-\frac{v^2}{2}).$$

We use the Young version of the Dominated Convergence Theorem (see [1], page 164) to to get that

$$\psi_{Y_{j,n}/\sqrt{a_n(t_j)}}(v) = EZ_n(1) \rightarrow \exp(-\frac{v^2}{2}).$$

Then each $Y_{j,n}/\sqrt{a_n(t_j)}$ weak converges to $N(0,1)$. We deduce from this that

$$(Y_{1,n}/\sqrt{a_n(t_1)}, ..., Y_{k,n}/\sqrt{a_n(t_k)}) \rightarrow_d N_k(0, I_k),$$

where I_k is identity matrix of dimension k. Hence by $(HN3A)$

$$(Y_{1,n}/\sqrt{c_n}, ..., Y_{k,n}/\sqrt{c_n}) \rightarrow_d N_k(0, \Lambda_k),$$

where $\Lambda_k = diag(a(t_1), ..., a(t_k))$. This leads to 3.2.

In other words, under the assumptions of this proposition, the finite distribution of the stochastic process $\{S_n(t)/\sqrt{c_n}, 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ weakly converge to those of a centered Gaussian process $\{\mathbb{G}(t), 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ with covariance function

$$\Gamma(s,t) = a(\min(s,t)),$$

where

$$a(s,t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E}(N(nt) - N(ns))/c_n.$$

Finally, let us address the tightness of the sequence.

Lemma 2. *Suppose that the assumptions of Proposition 2 hold. Assume in addition that (HTA) holds. Then the stochastic process*

$$\{S_n(t)/\sqrt{c_n}, 0 \leq t \leq T\}$$

is asymptotically tight in $\ell^\infty(T)$, that is (3.1) holds.

Proof of Lemma 2. Fix $\eta > 0$ and $t \in [0, T[$ and take $\delta > 0$ such that $t + \delta \leq T$. For $s \in [t, t + \delta]$,

$$\frac{|S_n(s) - S_n(t)|}{\sqrt{c_n}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{c_n}} \left| \sum_{h=N(nt)+1}^{N(ns)} X_h(\omega) \right|.$$

We may and do replace all the process $\{Y_n(s), s \in [t, t + \delta]\}$ by

$$Y_n(s) = \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{c_n}} \left| \sum_{h=1}^{N(ns)-N(nt)} X_h \right|, s \in [t, t + \delta] \right\}$$

in the sense of equality in law since the random variables $X_1, X_2, ...$ are iid. Next, since that random variable are integers, the supremum

$$Y_n(t, \delta) = \sup_{s \in [[t,t+\delta]} Y_n(s)$$

is taken over a countable number of values, and then is measurable. Denoting $\Delta_n N(t, \delta) = N(nt + n\delta) - N(nt)$, we see that

$$Y_n(t, \delta) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{c_n}} \max_{j \leq \Delta_n N(t,\delta)} \left| \sum_{h=1}^{j} X_h \right|.$$

To simplify, denote $S_0 = 0$, $S_j = \sum_{h=1}^{j} X_h(\omega), j \geq 1$. we have

$$Y_n(t, \delta) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{c_n}} \max_{j \leq \Delta_n N(t,\delta)} |S_j| .$$

From now, we do not need the use of outer probability since $Y_n(t, \delta)$ is measurable. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(Y_n(t, \delta) &> \eta) \leq \mathbb{P}(\max_{j \leq \Delta_n N(t,\delta)} |S_j| > \eta\sqrt{c_n}) \\ &\leq \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(\Delta_n N(t, \delta) = r)\mathbb{P}(\max_{j \leq r} |S_j| > \eta\sqrt{c_n}). \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(\Delta_n N(t, \delta) = r)\mathbb{P}(\max_{j \leq r} |S_j| > \eta\sqrt{c_n}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

For any fixed $r \geq 1$, for $p \geq 1$, the sequence $|S_1|^p, ..., |S_r|^p$ is a sub-martingale and then satisfies the maximal inequality

$$\mathbb{P}(\max_{j \leq r} |S_j| > \eta) = \mathbb{P}(\max_{j \leq r} |S_j|^p > \eta^p) \leq \frac{E |S_r|^p}{c_n^{p/2} \eta^p}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(Y_n(t,\delta) &> \eta) \leq \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(\Delta_n N(t,\delta)=r)\frac{E\,|S_r|^p}{c_n^{p/2}\eta^p} \\ &= \frac{c_n^{-p/2}}{\eta^p}\sum_{r=1}^{\infty}\mathbb{P}(\Delta_n N(t,\delta)=r)r^{p/2}\left(E\left|\frac{S_r}{\sqrt{r}}\right|^p\right).\end{aligned}$$

Since the sequence

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\left|\frac{S_r}{\sqrt{r}}\right|^p\right), p \geq 1,$$

is bounded, say by $C>0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(Y_n(t,\delta) &> \eta) \leq \frac{Cc_n^{-p/2}}{\eta^p}\sum_{r=1}^{\infty}\mathbb{P}(\Delta_n N(t,\delta)=r)r^{p/2} \\ &= \frac{Cc_n^{-p/2}}{\eta^p}\mathbb{E}(\Delta_n N(t,\delta)^{p/2}).\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}&\lim_{\delta\to 0}\sup_{s\in[0,T]}\limsup_n \frac{1}{\delta}\mathbb{P}(\sup_{s-\delta<t<s+\delta,t\in[0,T]}\frac{|S_n(s)-S_n(t)|}{\sqrt{c_n}}>\eta) \\ &\leq \lim_{\delta\to 0}\limsup_{n\to+\infty}\frac{C}{\eta^p\delta}\mathbb{E}\left(\left\{\frac{\Delta_n N(t,\delta)}{c_n}\right\}^{p/2}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Then the sequence is asymptotically tight whenever (HTA) holds for some $p \geq 1$.

We conclude the proof of Proposition 1 by combining Proposition 2 and Lemma 2, we get the searched result.

3.2. **Proof of Corollary refcorA.** Suppose that the application $a(\circ)$ transforms $[0,T]$ into $[0,A_T]$ with $a(0)=0$. Suppose $a(\circ)$ is invertible, and $a^{-1}(\circ)$ is k-Lipschitz. We may apply Proposition 2 to $\{S_{n,T}(a^{-1}(u), 0 \leq u \leq A_T\}$. For

$$0 = t_0 = a(u_0) < t_2 = a(u_2) < ... < t_k = a(u_k),$$

we surely have that

$$\left(\frac{S_{n,T}(a^{-1}(u_1)-S_{n,T}(a^{-1}(u_0)}{\sqrt{c_n}},...,\frac{S_{n,T}(a^{-1}(u_k)-S_{n,T}(a^{-1}(u_{k-1})}{\sqrt{c_n}}\right)$$

weakly converges to ($u_0=0$,

$$(3.7)\ \ (\Delta B(1)\sqrt{a(a(a^{-1}(u_1))}+...+\Delta B(j)\sqrt{a(a(a^{-1}(u_j))}, 1\leq j\leq k),$$

where $a(a^{-1}(u_j))=a(a^{-1}(u_{j-1}), a^{-1}(u_j))=a(a^{-1}(u_j))-a(a^{-1}(u_{j-1}))=u_j-u_{j-1}$. It comes that (3.2), which is

$$(\Delta B(1)\sqrt{u_1}+\Delta B(2)\sqrt{u_2-u_1}+...+\Delta B(j)\sqrt{u_k-u_{k-1}}, 1\leq j\leq k),$$

is a finite distribution of a the Brownian motion $\{B, 0\leq u\leq A_T\}$.

Its remains to check that $S_{n,T}(a^{-1}(\circ))$ is asymptotically tight under the assumptions. We have for any fixed $v=a(t)\in]0, A_T[$, for $\delta>0$ such that $v+\delta\leq A_T$ and $a^{-1}(v)+k\delta\leq T$ for any u such that $v<u<v+\delta$

$$\frac{|S_n(a^{-1}(u))-S_n(a^{-1}(v))|}{\sqrt{c_n}}=\frac{|S_n(s)-S_n(t)|}{\sqrt{c_n}},$$

where $s=a^{-1}(u)$ and $s-t=a^{-1}(u)-a^{-1}(v)\leq k(u-v)\leq k\delta$ and then

$$\frac{|S_n(a^{-1}(u))-S_n(a^{-1}(v))|}{\sqrt{c_n}}\leq\sup_{t\leq s\leq t+k\delta}\frac{|S_n(s)-S_n(t)|}{\sqrt{c_n}},$$

and next

$$\sup_{v\leq u\leq v+\delta}\frac{|S_n(a^{-1}(u))-S_n(a^{-1}(v))|}{\sqrt{c_n}}\leq\sup_{t\leq s\leq t+k\delta}\frac{|S_n(s)-S_n(t)|}{\sqrt{c_n}},$$

and next for any $\eta>0$

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{v\leq u\leq v+\delta}\frac{|S_n(a^{-1}(u))-S_n(a^{-1}(v))|}{\sqrt{c_n}}>\eta\right)\leq\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\leq s\leq t+k\delta}\frac{|S_n(s)-S_n(t)|}{\sqrt{c_n}}>\eta\right).$$

We conclude that for any fixed $v\in]0, A_T[$.

$$\lim_{\delta\to 0}\frac{1}{\delta}\lim\sup_{n\to+\infty}\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{v\leq u\leq v+\delta}\frac{|S_n(a^{-1}(u))-S_n(a^{-1}(v))|}{\sqrt{c_n}}>\eta\right)=0.$$

The sequence $S_{n,T}(a^{-1}(\circ))$ is tight. Then, in combination of the convergence of its the finite distributions to those of a Brownian motiona, it weakly converges to the Brownian motion on $[0, A_T]$

References

[1] Loève, Michel.(1997). Probability measure. Springer-Verlag, 4th Edition.
[2] A. W. van der Vaart and J. A. Wellner(1996). *Weak Convergence and Empirical Processes With Applications to Statistics*. Springer, New-York.
[3] van der Vaart, A.W. *Asymptotic Statistics*. (2000). *Cambridge*
[4] Billingsley, P. (1968). *Convergence of probability measures*. Wiley, New-York.
[5] Lo, G.S. (2014). A remark on the asymptotic tightness in $\ell^\infty([a,b])$. arxiv.org/pdf/1405.6342.